1 2 3 4 5 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 6 7 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA DANNY GEROME YOUNG, 8 9 Plaintiff, No. CIV S-03-2225 KJM P 10 VS. 11 J. PULSIPHER, et al., 12 Defendants. ORDER 13 14 On February 19, 2010, this case was closed after the parties filed a stipulation for 15 voluntary dismissal, stemming from the settlement of the case. 16 On September 14, 2010, plaintiff filed a motion to enforce the settlement 17 agreement, alleging that he had not received the monetary settlement underlying the stipulation 18 for dismissal. He quoted the agreement, which expressly notified him that payment might be 19 delayed beyond the 180 days if there was a delay in adopting the state budget. Docket No. 185 20 at 1, 4. 21 Defendants have filed a notice that with the adoption of the budget, the settlement 22 money has been sent to plaintiff. 23 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the motion to enforce the settlement (docket 24 no. 185) is denied. 25 DATED: November 8, 2010. 26 2/youn2225.ord